REGULAR MEETING 9:00 A.M. JUNE 18, 2003

PRESENT:

COMMISSIONERS: Gerald W. Smith, Chairman Neal Hertzmann, Alternate

Jim Bagley, Vice Chairman Richard P. Pearson

Paul Biane A. R. "Tony" Sedano, Alternate

Bob Colven Diane Williams

STAFF: Kathleen Rollings-McDonald, Acting Executive Officer

Clark H. Alsop, Legal Counsel

Debby Chamberlin, Clerk to the Commission

ABSENT:

COMMISSIONERS: James V. Curatalo, Alternate

Dennis Hansberger, Alternate

Bill Postmus

REGULAR SESSION - CALL TO ORDER - 9:05 A.M.

Chairman Smith calls the regular session of the Local Agency Formation Commission to order. Commissioner Williams leads the flag salute.

Chairman Smith requests those present who are involved with any of the changes of organization to be considered today by the Commission and have made a contribution of more than \$250 within the past twelve months to any member of the Commission to come forward and state for the record their name, the member to whom the contribution has been made, and the matter of consideration with which they are involved. There are none.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Chairman Smith calls for any corrections, additions, or deletions to the minutes. There are none. Commissioner Pearson moves approval of the minutes as presented, seconded by Commissioner Colven. Chairman Smith calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Bagley, Colven, Pearson, Smith, Williams. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Biane and Postmus.

CONSENT ITEMS

LAFCO considers the item listed under its consent calendar, which Chairman Smith states is approval of the Acting Executive Officer's Expense Report. Ms. McDonald announces that she has not prepared an expense report as she has no reportable expenses to submit at this time.

Acting Executive Officer Kathleen Rollings-McDonald introduces Samuel Martinez, a Planner from the San Bernardino County Land Use Services Department. Ms. McDonald says Sam is assisting staff two days a week on a temporary basis by gathering information and data for such things as service reviews and environmental review.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER OF REQUIREMENT FOR INDIVIDUAL LANDOWNER AND REGISTERED VOTER NOTIFICATION FOR LAFCO 2885 AND LAFCO 2886 - SPHERE OF INFLUENCE AMENDMENT (EXPANSION) FOR AND ANNEXATION TO BALDY MESA WATER DISTRICT (COUNTY SERVICE AREA 70, IMPROVEMENT ZONE L) - APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a request for a waiver of the individual notice requirement for LAFCO 2885 and LAFCO 2886 - Sphere of Influence Amendment (Expansion) for and Annexation to Baldy Mesa Water District (County Service Area 70, Improvement Zone L). Notice of this hearing has been advertised as required by law through publication in The Sun and the Victor Valley Daily Press, newspapers of general circulation in the area. Individual notice was provided to affected and interested agencies, County departments, and those individuals requesting mailed notice.

Acting Executive Officer Kathleen Rollings-McDonald presents the staff report, a copy of which is on file in the LAFCO office and is made part of the record by its reference herein. Ms. McDonald says that the Baldy Mesa Water District (hereinafter referred to as "the District") has submitted applications that relate to the annexation of County Service Area (CSA) 70, Improvement Zone L to the District. She says that as part of the application, the District has requested a waiver of the individual notification requirement to landowners and registered voters within the application area and within one-quarter mile surrounding the area. She reports that staff estimates that about 27,000 notices will be required to comply with the Commission's policy directive. Ms. McDonald says staff supports a waiver of this requirement, pointing out that the application received considerable media interest even before it was filed and that staff believes that interest will continue. She says display ads advertising the Commission hearing will be provided in papers within the community and papers of general circulation. She notes that the District has requested the formation of an Improvement District, which will require that individual notice of the protest proceedings be provided to all landowners within the proposal area. Ms. McDonald says the staff recommendation is that the Commission approve the waiver of individual landowner and registered voter notification for LAFCO 2885 and LAFCO 2886 and instruct the Acting Executive Officer to provide display ads within newspapers of general circulation within the area and local newspapers.

Commissioner Colven asks about also posting notice of the hearing. Ms. McDonald responds that notice is provided to city clerks and district secretaries, as well as councilmembers and board members, and she says staff can ask that the clerks and secretaries also post the notice.

(It is noted that Commissioner Biane arrives at 9:12 a.m.)

Chairman Smith opens the public hearing and calls on those wishing to speak.

Dorothy Miller, a resident of the City of Victorville, comments that not everyone receives the paper and she says notice could be sent in the District's water bills. Commissioner Bagley asks whether she is in favor of or opposed to the annexation. Ms. Miller states that she has a few concerns with it, which she has discussed with Don Bartz, the General Manager of the District. Regarding the pipes out in Zone L, she questions whether the equipment is "up to power". She asks whether the Baldy Mesa people are taking on a big burden from the County, stating they have already paid their debt, and still are paying, although she says their fee was supposed to go off the tax bills in 1999. Commissioner Bagley says the issue today is whether to individually notice everyone. He asks Ms. Miller how she knew about today's hearing. Ms. Miller responds that she is informed about everything going on in Baldy Mesa. She says she attends all the District's meetings, as well as the City of Victorville Planning Commission and City Council meetings. Ms. Miller says that everyone with water receives a bill, so putting a notice in each bill would take care of notifying a lot of people. Commissioner Bagley comments that may be a proposal for the District to consider. Ms. Miller says that was brought up to the District Board, but she says it was not commented on. Commissioner Bagley points out that in a large desert annexation, many of the owners are absentee landowners and will pay for the benefits received by those who live in the area.

Cathy Pace, Chairman for the Zone L Advisory Committee, says that Ms. Miller is right in that it is very difficult to get information out in the desert area. Ms. Pace says that the Advisory Committee has been very active in this process over the past three years. She says they pass out flyers at grocery stores and that people do know about this issue. She says she has also suggested putting notices in the water bills, but she says people do not read them. She says the Advisory Committee will pass out flyers and notify the community of any public hearings that come up and will keep the community as aware as possible of what is going on. She says that as far as she knows, a majority of the community is in support of this issue. She requests that a waiver of the individual notification be approved because it will benefit them not to spend any more money than necessary.

Don Bartz, General Manager of the District, states that this proposal has been highly publicized in the entire High Desert and he says everyone in the community that he has talked with is aware of this annexation process. He points out that the Municipal Advisory Committee and the Water Advisory Committee discuss this issue at almost every meeting. He says there are many parcels that would have to be notified and says it would be very expensive at fifty cents per parcel. He says a waiver would save both districts a substantial amount of money. He says there will be newspaper ads placed and that the District is considering putting notices in the Baldy Mesa water bills, but he says they have no control over the County bills.

Reg Adams says he was one of the original 114 people who put in the Zone L system about 25 years ago. Mr. Adams says he is very much in favor of this annexation and that both sides will benefit. He requests that the Commission approve the waiver of individual notice.

Paula Nowicki, Field Representative for Supervisor Bill Postmus, states that she represents the Tri-Community. She reports that in two weekends, people with petitions were able to gather over 1,000 signatures from people who want this annexation. She says she would like to see the waiver approved.

Chairman Smith calls for further testimony. There is none and he closes the hearing.

Commissioner Colven moves approval of staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Bagley. Commissioner Bagley comments that most of the people here today are in favor of waiving the individual notification and are very knowledgeable that this issue is on the horizon. He says the problem in waiving the notification is that they do not actually reach out and contact everyone who may be opposed to the annexation. However, he says if it is having the publicity in the Victor Valley that has been referred to this morning, that is the interest group that will most directly be affected by this proposal and he says he is fairly confident they can waive individual notice and rely on notice being provided by other public means.

Chairman Smith calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Bagley, Biane, Colven, Pearson, Smith, Williams. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Postmus.

CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER OF REQUIREMENT FOR INDIVIDUAL LANDOWNER AND REGISTERED VOTER NOTIFICATION FOR LAFCO 2900 - SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE AMENDMENT (EXPANSION) FOR WEST VALLEY MOSQUITO AND VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT - CONTINUE TO JULY 16, 2003

Commissioner Biane announces that he will abstain from voting on this item and he leaves the hearing at 9:20 a.m.

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a request for a waiver of the individual notice requirement for LAFCO 2900 - Service Review and Sphere of Influence Amendment (Expansion) for the West Valley Mosquito and Vector Control District. Notice of this hearing has been advertised as required by law through publication in The Sun and the Daily Bulletin, newspapers of general circulation in the area. Individual notice was provided to affected and interested agencies and County departments.

Acting Executive Officer Kathleen Rollings-McDonald presents the staff report, a copy of which is on file in the LAFCO office and is made part of the record by its reference herein. Ms. McDonald says that staff recommendation is that the Commission continue this review to the July 16, 2003 hearing at the request of the District. She reports that the District is making progress in its ongoing discussions with the Cities of Rancho Cucamonga and Ontario, but she says the District needs a few more weeks to "shore up" support for this item.

Chairman Smith asks if there is anyone present wishing to speak on this item. There is no one.

Commissioner Bagley moves approval of staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Colven. Chairman Smith calls for a voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Bagley, Colven, Pearson, Smith, Williams. Noes: None. Abstain: Biane. Absent: Postmus.

CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF FILING FEES SUBMITTED BY SPECIAL DISTRICTS DEPARTMENT ON BEHALF OF COUNTY SERVICE AREA 77 (MARIANA RANCHOS) FOR PROPOSED DISSOLUTION - APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATION

LAFCO conducts a public hearing to consider a request for a reduction in the filing fees for LAFCO 2942 - County Service Area 77 Dissolution (Mariana Ranchos Area). Notice of this hearing has been advertised as required by law through publication in The Sun and the Victor Valley Daily Press, newspapers of general circulation in the area. Individual notice was provided to affected and interested agencies and County departments.

Acting Executive Officer Kathleen Rollings-McDonald presents the staff report, a copy of which is on file in the LAFCO office and is made part of the record by its reference herein. Ms. McDonald states that the Commission has received an application from the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors for the dissolution of County Service Area (CSA) 77 (hereinafter referred to as "the District"). She says the County Special Districts Department has submitted a request for a reduction in the filing fees to the direct costs of processing the proposal, due to the District's "precarious" financial position. She reports that the District currently receives approximately \$2,365 a year from its share of the property tax and she says it will cost about \$5,700 to process the application. Ms. McDonald says the staff recommendation is that the Commission approve a reduction in fees to the direct charges outlined in the staff report. She notes that staff will bill the Special Districts Department and will receive payment prior to completion of this action, if the dissolution is approved.

Commissioner Colven asks whether staff has considered that this request may be a forerunner to the Special Districts Department coming back with additional requests for reducing filing fees. He says he has noticed that the fees are not equal to the job for some of the smaller districts. Ms. McDonald responds that is a possibility. She says the Commission will have to look at each proposal on a case-by-case basis. She notes that this proposal relates to road maintenance services and involves a liability issue, so she says the Special Districts Department is looking closely at it.

Chairman Smith opens the hearing and asks if there is anyone wishing to speak on this item.

Joseph W. Monroe states he is Chairman of the CSA 77 Advisory Commission. He says he believes the Advisory Commission supports the issue today, but says he will reserve future comments. Mr. Monroe asks to be placed on the mailing list for future notices regarding this matter. He says CSA 77 is listed on the distribution list but did not receive any documents.

Chairman Smith asks if there is anyone else wishing to speak on this item. There is no one and he closes the hearing.

Commissioner Bagley asks that staff check to be sure they have the correct address for CSA 77. He moves approval of staff recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Pearson. Chairman Smith calls for a

voice vote on the motion and it is as follows: Ayes: Bagley, Colven, Pearson, Smith, Williams. Noes: None. Absent: Biane and Postmus.

WORKSHOP ON SERVICE REVIEWS FOR EAST VALLEY AGENCIES

LAFCO conducts a workshop on service reviews for the East Valley agencies. Notice of this workshop has been advertised as required by law through publication in <u>The Sun</u>, a newspaper of general circulation. Individual notice was provided to affected and interested agencies and County departments.

Acting Executive Officer Kathleen Rollings-McDonald presents the staff report, a copy of which is on file in the LAFCO office and is made a part of the record by its reference herein. Ms. McDonald says she has provided the Commission with maps which outline the East Valley agencies and their relationships, as well as an outline of the various services to be reviewed and the known providers at this time. She says this information will be augmented over the summer as staff works with the cities and districts to receive the necessary information for the service reviews. She says the primary issue for this workshop is the "County Fire Department Financing Study", noting that when staff began the service reviews for the West Valley agencies, it deferred consideration of County Service Area (CSA) 38 and Central Valley Fire Protection District to allow for completion of this study. She reports that the study, which was completed and accepted by the County Board of Supervisors (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") in April, has been presented to the Commission. She introduces Fire Chief Peter Hills who will present a synopsis of the study.

(It is noted that Commissioner Biane returns to the hearing at 9:27 a.m.)

Chief Hills presents the historical perspective that led to this study. He says that over the last 25 or 30 years in the County, there has been an amalgamation of the management of a number of Board-governed Fire Districts and County Service Areas with fire powers, from the standpoint of trying to build efficiency into the management of these districts, which, at the same time, remained separate entities. He discusses that the tax rate for all districts, except CSA 70, was set pre-Proposition 13, and that for many of the districts, that rate was set when they were very rural and the demand for services was different than it is today. He says there has been a tremendous amount of growth, especially in the unincorporated areas, and the demand for service has far exceeded the ability to pay. He points out that CSA 38 receives about six to seven cents for every dollar its residents pay, while the Central Valley Fire Protection District, which overlays the City of Fontana, Bloomington and Muscoy, receives seventeen cents on every dollar. He says the fact that there can be two fire stations sitting next to each other, each with an entirely different revenue source from which it attempts to provide some consistency of service to citizens in separate districts, lead to this fire study.

Chief Hills discusses that studies have shown that over a ten-year period, desert communities such as Yucca Valley, Lucerne Valley, and Wrightwood, never averaged more than a one percent growth in revenue, which will not even keep up with inflation, and caused the Board to look at the need to supplement those revenues in several smaller districts. He says the Board has been faced with the challenge of whether to use general fund dollars to support a special district. He discusses that employee costs, as MOU's and contracts are signed, as well as the fact that a significant part of this County is in an "unfunded fire protection area", are factors that are driving the service costs. He explains that most of the desert region is not within a legal fire protection district and says that CSA 38 has been expending about a million dollars a year to serve that area. He notes that until the budget crunches of the early 1990's, the Board provided about \$1.6 million to CSA 38, which went to the Fire Warden in the County budget to augment funding to provide service in the unfunded area.

Chief Hills discusses that the fire study was tasked to: (1) look at the history of expenditures and revenues and put it into a single document; (2) look at what would happen in the next ten years in these districts based on certain assumptions; and (3) bring recommendations to the Board for consideration. He reports that the study indicated that if certain assumptions were made in terms of property tax and expenditures,

and other factors, in about 2010 or 2011 all districts will be about \$20 million short, which gets to about \$80 million when added up over ten years. He says this is not a new issue, referring to a memo from 1974 from Vern Knourek, the Fire District Coordinator, to Bob Covington, the County Administrative Officer, which he says indicated that if no action were taken, the level of fire protection provided by CSA 38 can be expected to continue to decline.

Chief Hills says there were about ten recommendations made by the County Administrative Office (CAO) staff for the Board to consider and he says his department was directed to evaluate all recommendations. He reports that the first key area they will look at is the structure of the organization, and he discusses some of the several options they are exploring. He says one option is a budgetary change so that all revenues from all districts are put into a single pot and then policy decisions are made as to where to spend those dollars. Other options are whether to create a single district that overlays all the communities or to look at four or five regional districts, which are still overlaid by CSA 70, the district that provides revenue for the management of the districts. He says they are exploring whether to create a separate district for the huge unfunded area in the east and north desert. Chief Hills says that as the Fire Chief, the first key issue that needs to be dealt with is CSA 70, which he says has been losing revenues to every annexation that comes before this Commission. He says that same issue applies to CSA 38, which is subject to annexations and the loss of that revenue and any growth revenue from new development that might occur. He reports that in talking with LAFCO staff, the only way known to protect CSA 70's revenues long-range is for the Board to shift those dollars back to the County general fund and tag them to be put into a County Fire Warden budget to be used to manage the County Fire Department. He says another key recommendation was to explore with LAFCO the development of a City/RDA fiscal impact analysis for future annexations to ensure that adequate funds pass through to the County Fire Department. He points out that as pieces of CSA 38 are being annexed, the revenues for the protection of the areas that CSA 38 still needs to protect are shrinking, along with the ability to maintain those levels of service. He says working with the Commission and its staff toward a policy of how to address that issue will be the key to overall solutions that ultimately will come before the Board.

Chief Hills says the Board wants to hear back from staff in ninety days and he says they are looking toward a number of solutions by the end of this year. He says he is pleased the study was done and says they are moving in the right direction to begin addressing some of the issues from a Countywide perspective.

Commissioner Colven asks whether they are looking to reduce administrative staff and the costs involved with that. Chief Hills responds that their challenge is that they do not have enough staff. He says they have fifteen battalion chiefs, which sounds like a lot, but he says they have to cover 16,000 square miles on a 24-hour/7 day-a-week basis. He discusses that some of the areas cover a huge area from a response standpoint, and says that calls for service are going up significantly. He says there will probably be some efficiencies in the day-to-day management component because they have to spend a huge amount of administrative time tracking everything for 32 separate fire districts. He says it is the cost of the firefighters and equipment, and the requirements that must be met from the safety perspective, that drive the costs.

Commissioner Pearson discusses that he agrees that management is a key part of this issue. He questions whether the people that head the different fire districts in this County have been a part this study. He says it looks like an impressive study done by a consulting firm, but he says he talked with the Apple Valley Fire Chief who indicated that he had not been asked to provide any input into this study. He questions whether they are seeing this "bureaucratic gorilla" trying to create more bureaucracy and whether or not this will be efficient. He says if they do not ask the players, they will not get the whole picture, which disturbs him.

Chief Hills responds that this was not a County Fire Department study, but a study tasked by the Board to the CAO. He says the County Fire Department provided data, and says he spoke with the consultants.

Commissioner Biane states that as far as policy direction from the Board of Supervisors, when they talk about CSA 38, it does not have the money to operate as it is now. He says the question of management

efficiencies was not the question that was asked to be looked at in the study, although it was one of the items in it. He points out that in the Fourth District, there are very little County fire responsibilities. He says it becomes a question for the Board when the fire districts' budgets are being supplemented from the County general fund, and some Supervisors' districts receive no benefit, so the overall fiscal structure of the fire districts needs to be relooked at. He says this is not a study that will be put on the shelf, faced with how "upside down" CSA 38 is going to be.

Chairman Smith asks if there is anyone wishing to speak on this item. There is no one.

Ms. McDonald reports that she has been asked to participate with the CAO and Chief Hills and others to look at the structuring of overall fire protection. She says that ultimately, the Commission probably will be asked to review consolidations of some of the fire functions. She says Commissioner Biane is correct-that there are real concerns in the West Valley that what is left of CSA 38 is the San Antonio Heights Fire Station, which does not have sufficient revenues generated in that area to support it. She says that annexations, while for many reasons are the appropriate action to take, are impacting fire protection service dramatically, which must be looked at by this Commission. She notes that she worked for LAFCO in 1979 when it conducted a fire protection study and recommended the creation of two fire protection districts and the administrative consolidation of training and other items. Ms. McDonald says she has no recommendation for today. She says she hopes the maps are useful in providing the Commission with a regional concept of agencies that serve in the East Valley areas. She says they are also available on the LAFCO website, although they are not as clear as those attached to the staff report.

Commissioner Bagley says he likes the colored maps because they are easier to understand. He comments that fire service in the City of Twentynine Palms is provided by the Twentynine Palms Water District, which receives no property tax revenue, which is a problem. He says if that District divested itself of providing that fire protection service, the question would be who would take over that service. He says the City has no revenue mechanism to pay for it. He says there are these types of "quirks" in the works that probably should be looked at as part of a service review.

Ms. McDonald states that when they begin the service reviews for the mountains, the fire protection map will show that CSA 79, Running Springs Water District, Arrowbear Park Water District, Lake Arrowhead Fire Protection District, Big Bear City Community Services District and CSA 53 all provide fire protection on the mountain top and all are overlaid by CSA 70. She says she hopes that these maps will provide a better understanding of the questions of looking at the overlay and meshing of the agencies for the services they provide. Commissioner Bagley notes that the City has a contract with the County for Sheriff services and says he would want a similar solution if the City were looking for someone to provide fire protection since there are larger districts that could do that efficiently.

Commissioner Colven comments that the Big Bear City Fire Department assists the County in areas to the east which are normally serviced by Station 49, which has a long way to travel. He says he has talked to the Fire Chief about new development going in and about receiving a piece of the development fees which now go to the County, while the responsibility for fire protection and emergency medical service fall on the Big Bear City Fire Department, which has limited revenues. Ms. McDonald states there is no development impact fee in the County for fire protection. She says those are issues the County needs to address on a large scale.

Commissioner Hertzmann asks Ms. McDonald whether she would still recommend the two fire districts, as recommended in 1979. She discusses that she probably would, or a single agency for the Valley area itself.

PENDING LEGISLATION

Ms. McDonald states that she has provided the Commission with a copy of the adopted positions CALAFCO has taken on pending legislation, taken from the CALAFCO website. She notes that AB 1268

started out as a bill to look at the issue of requiring growth zones in city and county general plans. She says this bill has been amended to reflect only intent language. She says that given the size of this County's spheres, staff will watch this legislation to see if something comes up as far as a review of growth zones. She reports that the CALAFCO Legislative Committee will meet on June 27 and she says that at the July 16 hearing she will provide information on legislation that appears to be moving through and what the official positions of CALAFCO are.

ACTING EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S ORAL REPORT

Ms. McDonald states that the Commission has been provided with a copy of Senator Torlakson's update to "IT'S TIME TO DRAW THE LINE, A Citizen's Guide to LAFCOs". She says that while she does not agree with everything in it, it is a pretty good outline for the average citizen about what LAFCOs do.

Ms. McDonald reports that the County Auditor-Controller will be sending out the bills for LAFCO funding to the cities and special districts in the next couple of weeks. She says that at the last minute the State Controller issued updated reports so the estimates provided in the April and May staff reports are no longer valid.

Ms. McDonald reports that last fall staff was notified that LAFCO was no longer part of the County's funding request for SB 90 reimbursement. She says that since the Commission is mandated to have open public meetings, she thought that LAFCO should file with the State Controller's office on its own. However, she reports that caused the State Controller's office quite a bit of consternation since it had no idea what LAFCO is or why LAFCO was required to have open meetings. She says the State Controller responded that LAFCO does not qualify for the reimbursement because it is not a city, county or a special district. She says she will ask the County if they will once again include LAFCO in their SB 90 claim for reimbursement.

Ms. McDonald reports that the July agenda will be light and will consist of consideration of four out-of-agency service contracts from the City of Redlands and the continued item related to the waiver of the requirement for individual landowner and registered voter notification for the service and sphere reviews for the West Valley Mosquito and Vector Control District.

Commissioner Colven comments that he understands there is some effort being made to do away with the posting requirement under the Brown Act. He says that may be in response to inquiries like the one Ms. McDonald made to receive reimbursement for the costs related to giving notice of meetings.

Commissioner Williams asks Ms. McDonald what she does not agree with in the "It's Time to Draw the Line" publication. Ms. McDonald responds that the Municipal Service Review section indicates that a service review is required for all spheres of influence. She says that while staff believes that a service review is required for sphere of influence updates and establishments, staff does not believe a service review is specifically required for a sphere of influence amendment.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

Chairman Smith calls for comments from the public. There are none.

Ms. McDonald reports that the Chairman has been presented with a letter of resignation from Mr. Roddy. She suggests that it would be appropriate for the Commission to adopt a resolution of appreciation for the many years of service Mr. Roddy provided to the Commission.

On motion of Commissioner Bagley, seconded by Commissioner Colven and carried unanimously, the Commission directs that a resolution be prepared for Mr. Roddy and placed on the July 16, 2003 agenda for adoption.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE THE COMMISSION, THE HEARING IS ADJOURNED AT 10:15 A.M.

ATTEST:	
DEBBY CHAMBERLIN Clerk to the Commission	LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
	GERALD W. SMITH, Chairman